August 31, 2006
Assemblymember

Dear Assemblymember:

We are writing to you because your district contains areas zoned for manufacturing and we thought that you would be interested in recent developments that will affect manufacturing zones throughout the City. While most areas zoned for manufacturing allow hotels, residential use is prohibited in these areas. This prohibition is necessary for the continued vitality of these areas zoned for manufacturing, as it prevents conflicts between manufacturers and residents over issues such as noise and ensures that manufacturers are not priced out of the City by competition with residential development.

In the South Village/SoHo neighborhood that we represent, Donald Trump has proposed to build a 45-story condo-hotel in an area zoned for manufacturing. The proposed building is vastly out of character with the neighborhood and is seen as the first of what may be many encroachments into this neighborhood. For these reasons, Trump’s proposal is strongly opposed by local community activists and the community board. Under Trump’s condo-hotel plan, individual buyers will own each room in the hotel. According to a June 6th article in The Villager, a local newspaper, buyers will have a choice as to whether or not to rent out their rooms as hotel units. This appears to create a backdoor route to adding residences to an area zoned for manufacturing. The encroachment of luxury residences into areas zoned for manufacturing is especially troubling at a time when manufacturing firms are struggling to find space in the city.

The Department of Buildings is currently reviewing this application. Since this proposal appears to be the first of its kind, DOB’s decision in this case will likely have consequences in other areas of the City. Therefore, we urge you to join in our effort to ensure that DOB does not make a decision that will allow developers to skirt the law in areas zoned for manufacturing throughout New York City. We hope that you will contact DOB and express your concerns about this proposal and about the consequences that this proposal has for areas zoned for manufacturing throughout the City. We have enclosed two sample letters for your convenience. If you do write a letter, please forward a copy to Assemblymember Glick’s office. If you need any assistance, please contact Gregory in Assemblymember Glick’s office at (212) 674-5153.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Deborah J. Glick
Assemblymember

Jerrold Nadler
Member of Congress

Thomas K. Duane
State Senator
June 28, 2006

Hon. Amanda Burden
Commissioner
Department of City Planning

Hon. Patricia Lancaster
Commissioner
Department of Buildings

Fax and Mail

Dear Commissioners Burden and Lancaster:

I have received a copy of the June 16th letter sent to you by officials of the Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation, the Tribeca Community Association, the SoHo Arts Council, the Friends of Hudson Square and the SoHo Alliance.

As you will recall, the letter regarded the Donald Trump proposal for a 45-story hotel/condominium at 246 Spring Street in Manhattan.

I share the zoning and building concerns outlined in their letter. I strongly suggest that the Trump organization be enlightened as to the normal legal mechanisms available for developers who wish to build greater than the zoning code allows. The City of New York should not bend the rules for any developer, no matter how well known.

Please let me know as soon as possible how you intend to proceed in this matter.

Sincerely,

Tony Avella
Council Member
District 19 - Northeast Queens

cc: Ms. Karen Meara, Director of Legislative Affairs

TA:kam/lms
August 2, 2006

Patricia Lancaster
Commissioner
New York City Department of Buildings
280 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10007

Dear Commissioner Lancaster:

I am writing to you regarding the Trump SoHo Condo Hotel planned for 246 Spring Street. Both the legality of the Trump proposal and the significant effects that this building will have on the neighborhood are major areas of concern for residents of the surrounding community.

Although this site, and much of the surrounding area, is zoned for manufacturing use, developers have shown significant interest in creating new residences in the neighborhood. It is likely that there will be interest on the part of condo owners in the Trump SoHo to use their units as residences. In fact, a June 6th article in the Villager describing the project indicated that condo owners would have a choice to offer their units as hotel rooms.

I have been told by representatives of the developer that kitchen facilities will not be included in the units and that there may be a limit on the amount of time that condo owners may use their units. Nonetheless, I remain concerned about the possibility of residential use on the site. As the proposed development will have 24 hour room service and will cater to very high end clientele, it is important to note that many high income New Yorkers never make use of their kitchen facilities.

I urge the Department of Buildings to closely examine the application for this site so as to ensure that neither the letter nor the spirit of the zoning code is violated.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Deborah J. Glick
Assemblymember
July 6, 2006

Amanda Burden, Chair
New York City Planning Commission
22 Reade Street
New York, NY 10007

Dear Ms. Burden:

As you know, Donald Trump plans to build a “hotel” on the parking lot at Spring and Varick Streets in SoHo. I have grave concerns about his true intentions for this property and the impact it will have on the surrounding neighborhood.

Mr. Trump has stated that the building will be used as a hotel; however his plans include selling the units to individual owners who would then be given the autonomy to decide whether to rent them out as hotel rooms or maintain them as personal residences. It is absurd to think that in a neighborhood like SoHo, where there is strong demand for residential units, many owners in the proposed building won’t keep the units for themselves. This scheme is nothing more than a backdoor effort by Mr. Trump to get around our city’s and the neighborhood’s zoning regulations.

The area in question is zoned for light manufacturing. As such, long-term occupancy units are forbidden but transient hotels are permitted. If the line between the two is allowed to blur with the approval of Mr. Trump’s project, we could see aggressive developers building residential towers in inappropriate areas all across the city.

This case bears many similarities to one from 2004, when developers at 848 Washington Street wanted to build in an area with the same zoning restrictions. Back then, those developers attempted to circumvent the restriction against residential buildings by designating only 49 percent of the units for residential use, and the other 51 percent for hotel use. The New York City Department of Buildings denied the developers a permit, and wrote in a letter dated April 19 that their scheme would undermine “the integrity of
the text's prohibition on residential occupancies in the zoning district in which the building is located.”

Mr. Trump’s building would be an equally egregious undermining of the important zoning distinction between residential and transient occupancy. I urge you to deny Mr. Trump his permit, and send a signal to aggressive developers city-wide that zoning regulations are not to be misinterpreted, circumvented, or undermined.

Sincerely,

Tom Duane

Thomas K. Duane
State Senator

cc: City Council Speaker Christine Quinn
    Manhattan Borough President Scott Stringer
    City Councilmember Alan Gerson
    City Council Zoning Sub-Committee Chair Tony Avella
    State Assemblymember Deborah Glick
    U.S. Congressmember Jerrold Nadler
    Andrew Berman, Exec Director, Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation
    Carole Desarem, President, Tribeca Community Association
    Don Clark MacPherson, Executive Director, SoHo Arts Council, Inc.
    David Reck, President, Friends of Hudson Square
    Sean Sweeney, Executive Director, SoHo Alliance
    Community Board Two, Manhattan
    New York Industrial Retention Network
    Pratt Center for Community Development
July 6, 2006

Mayor Michael Bloomberg
City Hall
New York, NY 10007

Dear Mayor Bloomberg:

As you know, Donald Trump plans to build a “hotel” on the parking lot at Spring and Varick Streets in SoHo. I have grave concerns about his true intentions for this property and the impact it will have on the surrounding neighborhood.

Mr. Trump has stated that the building will be used as a hotel; however his plans include selling the units to individual owners who would then be given the autonomy to decide whether to rent them out as hotel rooms or maintain them as personal residences. It is absurd to think that in a neighborhood like SoHo, where there is strong demand for residential units, many owners in the proposed building won’t keep the units for themselves. This scheme is nothing more than a backdoor effort by Mr. Trump to get around our city’s and the neighborhood’s zoning regulations.

The area in question is zoned for light manufacturing. As such, long-term occupancy units are forbidden but transient hotels are permitted. If the line between the two is allowed to blur with the approval of Mr. Trump’s project, we could see aggressive developers building residential towers in inappropriate areas all across the city.

This case bears many similarities to one from 2004, when developers at 848 Washington Street wanted to build in an area with the same zoning restrictions. Back then, those developers attempted to circumvent the restriction against residential buildings by designating only 49 percent of the units for residential use, and the other 51 percent for hotel use. The New York City Department of Buildings denied the developers a permit, and wrote in a letter dated April 19 that their scheme would undermine “the integrity of the text’s prohibition on residential occupancies in the zoning district in which the building is located.”
Mr. Trump’s building would be an equally egregious undermining of the important zoning distinction between residential and transient occupancy. I urge you to deny Mr. Trump his permit, and send a signal to aggressive developers city-wide that zoning regulations are not to be misinterpreted, circumvented, or undermined.

Sincerely,

Tom Duane

Thomas K. Duane
State Senator

cc: City Council Speaker Christine Quinn
    Manhattan Borough President Scott Stringer
    City Councilmember Alan Gerson
    City Council Zoning Sub-Committee Chair Tony Avella
    State Assemblymember Deborah Glick
    U.S. Congressmember Jerrold Nadler
    Andrew Berman, Exec Director, Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation
    Carole Desarem, President, Tribeca Community Association
    Don Clark MacPherson, Executive Director, SoHo Arts Council, Inc.
    David Reck, President, Friends of Hudson Square
    Sean Sweeney, Executive Director, SoHo Alliance
    Community Board Two, Manhattan
    New York Industrial Retention Network
    Pratt Center for Community Development
July 12, 2006

Hon. Michael Bloomberg
Mayor, City of New York
City Hall
New York NY 10007

Hon. Amanda Burden
Chair, New York City Planning Commission
22 Reade Street
New York, NY 10007

Hon. Patricia Lancaster
Commissioner, Department of Buildings
280 Broadway
New York, NY 10007

Re: Donald Trump Plan for 45-story hotel/condo at 246 Spring Street, Manhattan

Dear Mayor Bloomberg, Chair Burden, and Commissioner Lancaster:

I am writing to express my deep concern over Donald Trump’s proposal to construct a 45-story hotel/condo at 246 Spring Street in Manhattan. I believe that both the letter and the spirit of the definition of the site’s M1 zoning should disallow such a project. Were this project allowed to proceed, it could undermine the attempts by your administration and agencies to protect land for our important blue-collar job base.

On its face, the project clearly has the potential to be used as a permanent residence and not a transient hotel. Residences are not permitted in this or any other manufacturing zone. According to the zoning resolution Section 12-10, a transient hotel is “a building or part of a building in which living or sleeping accommodations are used primarily for transient occupancy, and may be rented on a daily basis” (emphasis added).” By all published accounts I am aware of this “hotel” would allow owners of individual units to live there year-round.

In the Department of Buildings’ own ruling of April 19, 2004—which disallowed a plan for a combination hotel/residence at 848 Washington Street—the department interpreted this to require that in a transient hotel “units may not be made subject to sale, lease, or other arrangements under which they would not be available for transient occupancy. This interpretation is final.” The terms of the proposed arrangement for 246 Spring Street would clearly seem to contradict the letter of both the zoning resolution and the April 2004 DOB ruling.
Should the current proposal for 246 Spring Street be allowed, however, it would undo the April 2004 ruling and reopen a highly contentious issue throughout New York City. There are perfectly legal options a developer may pursue to get permission for uses not currently allowed in a zoning district in which he seeks to develop. This attempt, however, appears to intentionally circumvent the law and the opportunity for public input.

The New York Industrial Retention Network (NYIRN) is a citywide not-for-profit organization that works to keep manufacturers thriving in New York City. We work with about 400 companies a year, over half of which require some type of real estate assistance. Allowing developments like this one to move forward would further destabilize manufacturing areas and put jobs unnecessarily at risk.

We look forward to hearing back from you, and hope that no permits will be issued for this project.

Sincerely,

Adam Friedman

Cc: Andrew Berman, Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation
July 13, 2006

Hon. Michael Bloomberg
Mayor, City of New York
City Hall
New York, NY 1007

Hon. Amanda Burden
Chair, New York City Planning Commission
22 Reade Street
New York, NY 10007

Hon. Patricia Lancaster
Commissioner, New York City Department of Buildings
280 Broadway
New York, NY 10007

RE: 246 Spring Street Manhattan

Dear Mayor Bloomberg, Chair Burden and Commissioner Lancaster:

We write to express our very strong concerns about the plan announced by Donald Trump to construct a 45-story hotel/condo at 246 Spring Street in Manhattan. We are concerned that granting permits for this project would not only have a devastating impact upon the immediate area, but would also undo long-standing zoning regulations which have been reaffirmed in recent years to restrict residential development within manufacturing zones.

As you are aware, the project has been described as a residence (Use Group 2 of the Zoning text) and not a transient hotel (Use Group 5). According to the zoning resolution Section 12-10, a transient hotel is “a building or part of a building in which living or sleeping accommodations are used primarily for transient occupancy, and may be rented on a daily basis.” The current proposal for 246 Spring Street appears to be in direct contrast to this definition, by allowing owners of individual units to live there year round.

There are many options that a developer may pursue for legally allowed uses on this site and we believe that allowing a hotel on this site would set a bad precedent.

We sincerely hope that no permits will be issued for this project, and look forward to working with the broad coalition across the city that is working to preserve manufacturing zones.

Sincerely,

Brad Lander
Director
July 13, 2006

Hon. Michael Bloomberg
Mayor, City of New York
City Hall
New York, NY 10007

Hon. Amanda Burden
Chair, New York City Planning Commission
22 Reade Street
New York, NY 10007

Hon. Patricia Lancaster
Commissioner, Department of Buildings
280 Broadway
New York, NY 10007

Re: Donald Trump Plan for 45-story hotel/condo at 246 Spring Street, Manhattan

Dear Mayor Bloomberg, Chair Burden, and Commissioner Lancaster:

The Garment Industry Development Corporation (GIDC) shares the concern expressed by the Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation and others that the Trump Plan for 246 Spring Street is an attempt to intentionally disregard the legal distinction between hotel use and residential use as defined by the New York City Zoning Resolution and by the Department of Buildings’ own ruling of April 19, 2004.

This issue is of direct concern to GIDC because hotels are an allowed use under certain circumstances in the heart of the Midtown Garment District (known as the P-1 Preservation Area in the Zoning Resolution); in fact a hotel is being constructed right now on W. 35th Street between 7th and 8th Avenues.

Allowing the proposal for 246 Spring Street to progress would send a signal that residential uses are acceptable in manufacturing districts in spite of the zoning. This could be disastrous for the Garment District, where a recent land use survey conducted by GIDC found that 16% of the usable square feet continues to be used for apparel production in the section of the Garment District covered by the Special Garment Center District zoning.

The Garment District’s manufacturing businesses—over 200 apparel factories—have found a market niche in order to survive overseas competition. For the most part the biggest challenge they face is an unstable real estate situation. An interpretation of zoning that encourages residential development under the guise of developing hotels will only make it more difficult for these apparel manufacturing businesses, which play a crucial role in New York’s couture-level fashion industry, to hold on to their space.
We therefore respectfully urge that no permits be issued for this project.

Sincerely,

Sarah Crean  
Executive Director

Cc: Andrew Berman, Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation
July 12, 2006

Hon. Michael Bloomberg
Mayor, City of New York
City Hall
New York, NY 10007

Hon. Amanda Burden
Chair, New York City Planning Commission
22 Reade Street
New York, NY 10007

Hon. Patricia Lancaster
Commissioner, Department of Buildings
280 Broadway
New York, NY 10007

Dear Mayor Bloomberg, Chair Burden, and Commissioner Lancaster:

We are writing to express our strong concerns about a proposal by the Trump Organization to build a 45-story hotel/condo project at 246 Spring Street in Manhattan. We recently received a copy of the June 16th letter sent to you by the coalition of groups including the Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation, Tribeca Community Association, SoHo Arts Council Inc., Friends of Hudson Square, and the SoHo Alliance, and support their arguments that this project is an overt effort to circumvent the site’s M1-6 zoning.

GMDC agrees with these organizations that the hotel/condo proposal as described would be a residence as defined under Use Group 2 of the zoning resolution, and not a transient hotel as defined under Use Group 5. The Department of Buildings’ own ruling of April 19, 2004 disallowed a plan for a hotel/residence at 848 Washington Street. That ruling turned on the interpretation that the “units may not be made subject to sale, lease, or other arrangements under which they would not be made available for transient occupancy.”

GMDC is concerned with maintaining a friendly and stable business environment for New York City’s manufacturing businesses in order to promote a diverse economy for all New Yorkers. The proposed hotel/condo poses not only an immediate threat to the businesses in Greenwich Village but a long-term threat to manufacturing zones citywide. Should permits be
Issued for the proposed development at 246 Spring Street, the project will substantially undermine the April 2004 ruling by DoB. It will thereby set a precedent that could embolden developers to seek out creative methods for building residences in manufacturing zones (including Industrial Business Zones) while skirting the variance process administered by the BSA.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Paul Parkhill
Director of Planning and Development

Cc: Carl Hum, Mayor’s Office of Industrial and Manufacturing Businesses
July 10, 2006

Hon. Michael Bloomberg
Mayor, City of New York
City Hall
New York NY 10007

Hon. Amanda Burden
Chair, New York City Planning Commission
22 Reade Street
New York, NY 10007

Hon. Patricia Lancaster
Commissioner, Department of Buildings
280 Broadway
New York, NY 10007

Re: Donald Trump Plan for 45-story hotel/condo at 246 Spring Street, Manhattan

Dear Mayor Bloomberg, Chair Burden, and Commissioner Lancaster:

We write to express our very strong concerns about the plan announced by Donald Trump to construct a 45-story hotel/condo at 246 Spring Street in Manhattan, and to point out the glaring conflict between this proposed project and the zoning for the site. We are very concerned that granting permits for this project would not only have a devastating impact upon the immediate area, but undo long-standing zoning regulations which have been reaffirmed in recent years prohibiting residential uses— even when they are called “hotels”— in manufacturing zones.

By all reasonable interpretations, the project as it has been described would in fact be a residence (Use Group 2 under New York City zoning) and not a transient hotel (Use Group 5), which it is apparently purporting to be. Residences are not permitted in this or any other manufacturing zone. According to the zoning resolution Section 12-10, a transient hotel is “a building or part of a building in which living or sleeping accommodations are used primarily for transient occupancy, and may be rented on a daily basis” (emphasis added).” By all published accounts, this “hotel” would actually allow owners of individual units to live there year round.
In the Department of Buildings’ own ruling of April 19, 2004, which disallowed a plan for a combination hotel/residence at 848 Washington Street, the department interpreted this to require that “in order to develop a transient hotel in a manufacturing district, units may not be made subject to sale, lease, or other arrangements under which they would not be available for transient occupancy. This interpretation is final” (emphasis added)” (see attached). The terms of the proposed arrangement for 246 Spring Street would clearly seem to contradict the letter of both the zoning resolution and the April 2004 DOB ruling.

As you know, many of us were part of a broad coalition of interests from across the city that lobbied heavily against an earlier DOB ruling which would have allowed residential uses as part of hotels in manufacturing zones, which helped lead to the April 2004 ruling. In fact, we praised the Department of Buildings and the City for intervening in this manner to ensure that the integrity of the zoning resolution and the protection it offers for neighborhoods was ensured.

Should the current proposal for 246 Spring Street be allowed, however, it would undo the April 2004 ruling and reopen a highly contentious issue throughout New York City. There are perfectly legal options a developer may pursue to get permission for uses not currently allowed in a zoning district in which he seeks to develop. However, a sly circumvention of the law such as this which eliminates public input and appropriate oversight from the bodies which regulate land use in our city is not the way to do it.

We look forward to hearing back from you, and hope that no permits will be issued for this project.

Sincerely,

Joan Bartolomeo
President

cc: Andrew Berman, Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation
July 6, 2006

Hon. Michael Bloomberg
Mayor, City of New York
City Hall
New York, NY 10007

Hon. Amanda Burden
Chair, New York City Planning Commission
22 Reade Street
New York, NY 10007

Hon. Patricia Lancaster
Commissioner, Department of Buildings
280 Broadway
New York, NY 10007

Re: Donald Trump Plan for 45-story hotel/condo at 246 Spring Street, Manhattan

Dear Mayor Bloomberg, Chair Burden, and Commissioner Lancaster:

On behalf of the Southwest Brooklyn Industrial Development Corporation and the more than 2,000 industrial and manufacturing businesses that we serve in Red Hook, Gowanus, and Sunset Park, Brooklyn, we strongly urge the City to refuse permits for the 45-story hotel/condo at 246 Spring Street in Manhattan. We are very concerned that granting permits for this project will undo long-standing zoning regulations, undermine the recently ratified Industrial Business Zones (IBZs), and jeopardize industrial areas throughout New York City.

Permitting this project to go forward will set a dangerous precedent, thus giving developers a legal route to build residential in M1 zones without seeking any approvals, variances, or zoning changes at a time when industrial space is in high demand. According to a comprehensive survey my organization conducted for the New York City Economic Development Corporation in the spring of 2005, Red Hook and Gowanus have an industrial vacancy rate of 3% and Sunset Park, less than 1%.

We celebrated the implementation of the IBZs when they were ratified just a few months ago and saw this policy as testimony of this Administration’s dedication to protecting industrial businesses and blue collar jobs. M1 zones are not only plentiful in IBZs, but they provide much needed buffers between residents and the heavier, dirtier, louder, more noxious uses found in M2 and M3 zones. If Mr. Trump’s project were allowed, it would open the floodgates to similar developments, each slowly undermining the integrity of our industrial neighborhoods, and each without public review.
There are perfectly legal options a developer may pursue to get permission for uses not currently allowed in a zoning district in which he seeks to develop. However, a sly circumvention of the law such as this which eliminates public input and appropriate oversight from the bodies that regulate land use in our city is not the way to do it.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Phaedra Thomas
Executive Director

Cc: City Council Speaker Quinn
   City Council Zoning Sub-Committee Chair Tony Avella
   Carl Hum, Director of the Mayor's Office of Industrial & Manufacturing Businesses
July 12, 2006

Mayor Michael Bloomberg
City Hall
New York, NY 10007

Dear Mayor Bloomberg:

I am very concerned about Donald Trump's plan to build a 45-story "condo-hotel" at Spring and Varick Streets in Manhattan. The building would be woefully out-of-scale with its surroundings. But it would also apparently flout the law by sneaking residential development into an area where the zoning prohibits it. In 2004 the City did the right thing by rejecting a plan by another developer using the same architect to try to sneak a 450-ft. tall high-rise into the Meatpacking District. Please do not undo that good work which protected the integrity of neighborhood zoning by allowing this development to move ahead.

Sincerely,

Alice Rich
227 Nassau Avenue
Brooklyn, NY 11222

Waterfront Preservation Alliance of Greenpoint and Williamsburg
Mayor Michael Bloomberg
City Hall
New York, New York 10007

RE: Donald Trump’s ‘condo-hotel’

Dear Mayor Bloomberg,

If Greenwich Village is not safe from wanton demolition by the likes of Donald Trump (a 45 story condo-hotel on Varick and Spring Streets), what New York neighborhood is? If historic districts such as the Village are destroyed, what’s the impetus for tourists to come here? This latest plan, attempting to undermine zoning that obviously needs tightening and re-wording, sets a very dangerous precedent, indeed.

The law is the law – and even Donald Trump must be made to realize that New Yorkers **DO** have a say about their own surroundings.

Best regards,

Kathleen McGee Treat
Chair

Hell’s Kitchen Neighborhood Association - 454 W 35th St, New York City 10001
212-714-0186 - www.hknynyc.org
July 6, 2006

Commissioner Patricia Lancaster
Department of Buildings
280 Broadway
New York, N.Y. 10007

Re: Proposed "condo-hotel" at Spring & Varick Streets

Dear Commissioner Lancaster:

According to a recent article in "The Villager", our local newspaper, there is a proposal for a "condo-hotel" within Community Board #2. The Villager further reports that a "luxury hotel, equipped with an outdoor pool, a 30 person screening room, restaurant and members library, will be more a pied-a-terre that short stay hotel. Geared toward the hip, wealthy, 30's something crowd, every unit in the Handel Architects-designed building will be sold individually to buyers who might live there year round, from time to time or seasonally. All owners will be free to offer up their Rockwell Group-designed units as hotel rooms, if they so choose. If built, this will be the first all condo-hotel of its kind in the City,

Our understanding is that there are different regulations for "transient hotels" and residential building or residential hotels (Use Group 2 and Use Group 5). We realize may realize that you may have more than one use for a building, but there is a provision requiring separation of uses. In other words, you could have apartments and a hotel in one building, but they would have to have separate entrances, elevators and stairs etc. allocated for separate, not joint use, with no connections between them.

Based upon that information, we are hereby requesting concrete data and information as to whether or not this project complies with New York City Zoning Regulations.
As this matter will be heard before the Zoning Committee of Community Board#2 on July 13, 2006, we request a speedy reply to our inquiry.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Doris Diether, Chair
CB#2 Zoning Committee

Cc: Amanda Burden, City Planning Commissioner
    Alan Gerson, Councilmember
    Christine Quinn, City Council Speaker
    Scott Stringer, Manhattan Borough President
    Deborah Glick, Assemblymember
    Thomas Duane, State Senator
July 31, 2006

Hon. Michael Bloomberg
Mayor, City of New York
City Hall
New York, NY 10007

Hon. Amanda Burden
Chair, New York City Planning Commission
22 Reade Street
New York, NY 10007

Hon. Patricia Lancaster
Commission, Department of Buildings
280 Broadway
New York, NY 10007

Re: Construction of a condominium hotel at 246 Spring Street, Manhattan whose use may contravene the Zoning Resolution of the City of New York

Dear Mayor Bloomberg, Chair Burden and Commissioner Lancaster:

We write in support of Community Board Two’s efforts to halt the issuance of a building permit for the above referenced site, and in defense of the preservation of the manufacturing zones within Community Board Five.

We understand that an application has been made to the Department of Buildings for the construction of a new transient hotel at 246 Spring Street, which is in an M1 (Light Manufacturing) District within Greenwich Village. The developer proposes to sell each hotel “room” as a condominium unit, raising the concern that each unit purchaser would take permanent occupancy of the room, utilizing it as an apartment.

The Zoning Resolution does not permit residential or apartment hotel uses, which fall within Use Group 2 (ZR §12-10), in M1 zones. However, the Zoning Resolution does permit transient hotels (Use Group 5) within M1 zones. The difference between apartment and transient hotels lies not with the physical layout, both may have kitchenettes, separate bedrooms, etc., but with the length of stay by the occupant.

The ability to sell a hotel “room”, with all the attributes of an apartment, to an individual who may occupy it for any length of time, makes a mockery of the City’s zoning efforts and undermines each community’s ability to manage development in a rational manner. If this kind of application is permitted to go forward, the urgent pressure to develop and sell residential space in New York City would result in luxury housing pushing out secondary commercial and light manufacturing users, notwithstanding the underlying zoning.

There are several M1 Districts within Community Board Five; they house mostly B grade office buildings and some trade centers like the Garment and Flower Districts. Small businesses, which make up a large
part of our Central Business District, depend on the availability of space in these areas. The diversity of
use and the affordability of rents in these districts enhance our community, provide different rhythms of
use of the streets and permit employment for space users who might otherwise be forced out of
Manhattan. We would strongly oppose any attempt by a developer to conduct an end run around the
zoning in the manner described above.

This issue serves to highlight a weakness within the process by which the Department of Buildings issues
building permits – that of insuring that the on-going use of a building is in compliance with the zoning.
In the case of dormitories, the Department of Building’s regulations now require that a building
application must be accompanied by a 10-year (minimum) lease with an accredited institution, so as to
verify that the intended use is the actual use.

A similar approach can be adopted to meet the situation before the Department of Buildings now. If an
application for the construction of a transient hotel in an M1 zone is accompanied by a lease with a
legitimate hotel operator covering all the transient rooms to be constructed, there is a greater certainty that
the building will comply with the City’s use requirements.

There is a second undertaking that can reinforce the likelihood a new transient hotel will comply with the
City’s use requirements. We do not oppose any form of ownership of a hotel, including that of individual
condominium ownership, but we recognize that condominium ownership is more vulnerable to abuses.
Therefore, we recommend that an application for a building permit for a transient hotel wherein
individual rooms are to be sold to the public, be accompanied by a form of the Offering Memorandum
showing, as a “Special Risk” that the building is a transient hotel, and may not be occupied by an owner
on a permanent basis.

We look forward to working with the City, the City Planning Commission and the Department of
Buildings on this issue.

Sincerely,

David Diamond Nancy Goshoy Meile Rockefeller
Chair Co-Chair, Land Use and Zoning
Cc:
New York City Council Speaker Christine Quinn
Manhattan Borough President Scott Stringer
New York State Senator Thomas Duane
New York State Senator Liz Krueger
New York State Assemblymember Richard Gottfried
New York State Assemblymember Deborah Glick
New York State Assemblymember Sylvia Friedman
New York State Assemblymember Jonathan Bing
New York City Councilmember Rosie Mendez
New York City Councilmember Dan Garodnick
Manhattan Community Boards 1-12
August 2, 2006

Patricia Lancaster  
Commissioner  
New York City Department of Buildings  
280 Broadway, 7th Floor  
New York, NY 10007

Dear Commissioner Lancaster:

I am writing to you regarding the Trump SoHo Condo Hotel planned for 246 Spring Street. Both the legality of the Trump proposal and the significant effects that this building will have on the neighborhood are major areas of concern for residents of the surrounding community.

Although this site, and much of the surrounding area, is zoned for manufacturing use, developers have shown significant interest in creating new residences in the neighborhood. It is likely that there will be interest on the part of condo owners in the Trump SoHo to use their units as residences. In fact, a June 6th article in the Villager describing the project indicated that condo owners would have a choice to offer their units as hotel rooms.

I have been told by representatives of the developer that kitchen facilities will not be included in the units and that there may be a limit on the amount of time that condo owners may use their units. Nonetheless, I remain concerned about the possibility of residential use on the site. As the proposed development will have 24 hour room service and will cater to very high end clientele, it is important to note that many high income New Yorkers will make use of their kitchen facilities.

I urge the Department of Buildings to closely examine the application for this site so as to ensure that neither the letter nor the spirit of the zoning code is violated.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

\[signature\]

Deborah J. Glick  
Assemblymember
July 31, 2006

Hon. Michael Bloomberg
Mayor, City of New York
City Hall
New York, NY 10007

Hon. Amanda Burden
Chair, NYC Planning Commission
22 Reade Street
New York, NY 10007

Hon. Patricia Lancaster
Commissioner, Department of Buildings
280 Broadway
New York, NY 1007

Dear Mayor Bloomberg, Chair Burden and Commissioner Lancaster,

Manhattan Community Board No. 4 writes in support of the recent action by neighboring Manhattan Community Board No. 2 opposing plans by Donald Trump to construct a condo-hotel at 246 Spring Street. While the location is too distant to physically affect us, allowing this building to be constructed as-of-right as planned may well pose a potential threat to our community district in conceptual terms as it may to other districts in the City with significant amounts of space zoned for manufacturing.

This project appears to be an attempt by the developers to circumvent the City’s zoning code ban on residential or residential hotel uses in M zones. As we understand it from sketchy preliminary information, the plan is to sell individual units as condo’s and let owners decide whether to reside in them or allow them to be sublet for short periods of time. This highly unusual financing arrangement makes us suspicious of the developer’s real intent. Enforcement difficulties make it impossible to insure that such a building is legally used as a transient hotel even if the units are sold subject to paper rules. To call such a building a “transient hotel” and therefore a permitted M zone use seems to be a flagrant abuse of the English language as well as an attempt to do an end run around the law.

Any such interpretive stretch is bad, but in the case of Community District 4 is particularly threatening. As I am sure you all know, we have been subject to massive rezoning in the last few years. Much of our manufacturing space has been up-zoned to commercial or residential to meet City needs. The relatively small amount of manufacturing space remaining is essential for industrial uses or for our large number of art galleries.
Developers desiring to expand the possibilities for residential construction should do so through the legal channels of re-zoning or variance, actions subject to ULURP. Back door attacks by developers, even if well known, must be rejected by every agency and by any possible means.

This letter was approved at the full meeting of the Board on July 26, 2006 by a vote of 35 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstention and 0 present but not voting.

Sincerely,

J. Lee Compton
Chair
Manhattan Community Board No. 4

cc: local elected officials, Manhattan Community Board 2